Case.net: 2011-AC03380 - Docket Entries Page 1 of 1
Case: 4:20-cv-01006-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 07/31/20 Page: 1 of 8 PageID #: 6



EXHIBIT A

Case: 4:20-cv-01006-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 07/31/20 Page: 2 02010104 C03380

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT DIVISION

Deloris Cario,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.:
)
V.) Div. No.:
Acima Credit, LLC)
Serve at:)
R/A -CSC-Lawyers Inc. Svc. Co.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
221 Bolivar	
Jefferson City, MO 65101)
Defendant,)
)

PETITION FOR DAMAGES

Comes now Plaintiff, Deloris Cario, by and through counsel; Matthew P. Cook, and states the following:

INTRODUCTION AND JURISDICTION

- 1. This is an action for statutory damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. seq. ("FDCPA").
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the FDCPA claim under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is appropriate in this Court because Defendant directed its illicit conduct at Plaintiff in St. Louis County, Missouri.
- 4. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person currently residing in St. Louis County, Missouri. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FDCPA. The alleged debt owed arises out of consumer, family and household transactions.
- 6. Defendant, Acima Credit, LLC, is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business in Salt Lake City, Utah. The principal business purpose of Defendants are the collection of debts and Defendants regularly attempts to collect debts.

Case: 4:20-cv-01006-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 07/31/20 Page: 3 of 8 PageID #: 8

7. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone in Missouri. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

FACTS

- 8. The alleged debt stems from an apartment complex. Defendant told Plaintiff they purchased the account after the apartment complex had charged off the account.
- 9. The parties spoke on the phone in the middle of June, 2020 and Defendant demanded payment of \$2,216. Defendant offered a settlement offer for \$321 and explained to Plaintiff that the offer was good for up to 90 days. Defendant further informed Plaintiff that in order to take advantage of the settlement offer she would need to set up payment that day.
- 10. During the call Defendant also did not advise Plaintiff of her mini-miranda rights in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11).
- 11. Defendant explained to Plaintiff that the balance had increased after they purchased the account.
- 12. When the parties spoke a day or so later Defendant again did not read Plaintiff her mini-miranda rights in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11).
- 13. Defendant told Plaintiff that she has incurred \$385 dollars in fees.
- 14. Defendant and the original creditor did not have any statutory or contractual right to charge Plaintiff any interest or fees of \$385 and the attempt to do so violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1).
- 15. Plaintiff asked if she could take advantage of the settlement offer of \$321 in July and Defendant advise Plaintiff could.
- 16. Defendant's original statement that Plaintiff had to take advantage of the settlement offer that day or pay within 90 days was a misrepresentation intended to deceive Plaintiff into paying on the debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e.
- 17. Defendant's settlement offer was not time-sensitive and Plaintiff could take advantage of it at any time.
- 18. Defendant's collection attempts and misrepresentations have caused Plaintiff to incur

Case: 4:20-cv-01006-JAR Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 07/31/20 Page: 4 of 8 PageID #: 9

actual damages, attorney fees, as well as emotional distress.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE FDCPA

19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs.

20. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts asserted to be due to another and at all

relevant times herein, was a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

21. A single action on the part of the debt collector can violate multiple sections of the FDCPA.

22. In its attempts to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff, Defendant has committed violations of

the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C §1692 et. seq. including, but not limited to the following:

a. Failed to advise Plaintiff of her mini-miranda rights in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11);

b. Used false and deceptive means in an attempt to collect on the debt in violation of 15 U.S.C.

§1692e;

c. Added fees or interest on the account that were not authorized by statute or law in violation

of 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;

B. Actual damages;

C. Release of the alleged debt;

D. Statutory damages, costs, litigation expenses and attorney's fees pursuant to 15 USC

1692(k); and

E. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

By: /s/ Matthew P. Cook

Cook Law, LLC
Matthew P. Cook #62815
Attorney for Plaintiff
2885 Sanford Ave SW #4227

2885 Sanford Ave SW #42270 Grandville, MI 49418

Phone: 314-200-5536

Email: Cookmp21@yahoo.com





IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number:		
MAŤTHEW E P THORNHILL	2011-AC03380		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address:		
DELORES CARIO	MATTHEW P COOK		
	2885 SANFORD AVENUE		
	SW #42270		
	GRANDVILLE, MI 49418		
VS.	(314) 200-5536		
Defendant/Respondent:	Date, Time and Location of Court Appearance:		
ACIMA CREDİT, LLC	18-AUG-2020, 09:00 AM		
Nature of Suit:	DIVISION 12 COURTROOM		
AC Other Tort	300 N 2nd STREET		
	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	(Date File Stamp)	
Associate Division Summons			
The State of Microsovi to ACIMA CREDIT LLC			

ACINIA CIREBIT, LEC		DIVISION 40 COURTES		
Nature of Suit:		DIVISION 12 COURTRO	OW	
AC Other Tort		300 N 2nd STREET	00004	
		SAINT CHARLES, MO		(Date File Stamp)
	Associ	iate Division Sum	mons	
The State of Missouri	to: ACIMA CREDIT, LLC			
	Alias:			
CSC LAWYERS INC				
221 BOLIVAR				
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 6510	01			
COURT SEAL OF				
COURT SEAL OF		ear before this court on the d		
COURTOR		judgment by default will be to tted to file certain responsive		
		garding responsive pleadings		
8/7-17/8	you have any questions reg	garding responsive picadings	in this case you should be	noun an automoy.
3	If you have a disability requ	uiring special assistance for y	our court appearance, plea	ase contact the court at
Moccess	least 48 hours in advance of			
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	7/7/20	_	/S/ Cheryl Ci	owder
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			
I certify that I have serve delivering a copy of the leaving a copy of the 15 years who permar (for service on a corp other:	nently resides with the defend oration) delivering a copy of t	heck one) ne petition to the defendant/re petition at the dwelling place, a person of the dant/respondent. the summons and a copy of t (name)	espondent. or usual abode of the defe e defendant's/respondent's he petition to:	family over the age of (title).
Served at	(0	to at Ot I assia) MO are	(-1-1-) -1	(address) in
	(County/Cit	y of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at	(time).
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server	notary public if not served	Signature of Sheriff or Se	
		before me on		
(Seal)				(aato):
	My commission expires: _	_	Notary P	uhlia
Sheriff's Fees, if app	liaabla	Date	inolary F	ublic
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salar				
Supplemental Surchar				
Mileage	\$ (_	miles @ \$ per	r mile)	
Total	\$	•		
	ns and a copy of the petition r	nust be served on each Defe	endant/Respondent. For m	ethods of service on all
classes of suits, see S	Supreme Court Rule 54.			

STATE OF MISSOURI		
) ss	
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI)	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

NOTICE OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES

Pursuant to Missouri Supreme Court Rule 17, the Circuit Court of St. Charles County, Missouri (Eleventh Judicial Circuit) has adopted a local rule to encourage voluntary alternative dispute resolution. The purpose of the rule and the program it establishes is to foster timely, economical, fair and voluntary settlements of lawsuits without delaying or interfering with a party's right to resolve a lawsuit by trial.

This program applies to all civil actions other than cases in the small claims, probate and family court divisions of the Circuit Court, and you are hereby notified that it is available to you in this case.

The program encourages the voluntary early resolution of disputes through mediation. Mediation is an informal non-binding alternative dispute resolution process in which a trained mediator facilitates discussions and negotiations among the parties to help them resolve their dispute. The mediator is impartial and has no authority to render a decision or impose a resolution on the parties. During the course of the mediation, the mediator may meet with the parties together and separately to discuss the dispute, to explore the parties' interests, and to stimulate ideas for resolution of the dispute.

A list of mediators approved by the court and information regarding their qualifications is kept by the Circuit Clerk's Office. If all parties to the suit agree to mediation, within ten days after they have filed the Consent to Mediation Form on the reverse side of this page with the Clerk of the Court, they shall jointly select from that list a mediator who is willing and available to serve. If the parties cannot agree upon the mediator to be selected, the Court will make the selection.

The full text of the Circuit Court's local court rules, including Rule 38 Alternative Dispute Resolution, is available from the Clerk of the Circuit Court or at: http://www.courts.mo.gov/hosted/circuit11/Documents/LOCAL_COURT_RULES.pdf

A copy of this Notice is to be provided by the Clerk of the Circuit Court to each of the parties initiating the suit at the time it is filed, and a copy is to be served on each other party in the suit with the summons and petition served on that party.

STATE OF MISSOURI	
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI) ss.)
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI
Plaintiff(s), vs.)))
VS.) Cause #)
Defendant(s).)
CONSENT TO	MEDIATION FORM
subject of mediation under the Court's Alter in this case and that: We believe that mediation would be	in this case, hereby certify that I have discussed the native Dispute Resolution Program with my client(s) helpful in this case and consent to the referral of the similar consents by all other parties in the case.
We do not consent to the referral of t	his case to mediation.
	Signature
	(Print Name)
	Attorney for:
	(Party or Parties)
Date:	

NOTICE TO ATTORNEYS AND LITIGANTS

COURT PROCEDURES FOR IN-PERSON HEARINGS

- 1. YOU MUST BRING AND WEAR A MASK WHILE IN THE COURTHOUSE.
- 2. You MUST be here and check in at the beginning of the hour you are assigned.
- **3.** Only the Litigants and their Attorney are permitted in the Courthouse. No other family members are permitted in the Courthouse.
- **4.** Only 2 persons may use an elevator at a time. The stairwells will be open for separate entry and exit with instructions.
- **5.** A limited number of people are permitted in the courtroom at a time. If you are in the hallway waiting for your turn in court you MUST practice social distancing of 6 feet of separation. Follow the instructions of the bailiff at all times.
- **6.** You are only permitted to be on the same floor of the Courthouse as the Courtroom to which you are assigned.
- 7. You MUST have all paperwork filed with the Court prior to the start of your court time.
- 8. You MUST have all plea agreements worked out with the Prosecuting Attorney's office and all paperwork filed with the Court prior to your Court date. No plea bargaining in Court will be permitted.
- 9. If you have ANY cold or flu-like symptoms, fever, dry cough or difficulty breathing, DO NOT come to the Courthouse. You should contact your Attorney and the Courts prior to your assigned court time, preferably 24 hours before your court date. You will be given a new Court date.